90 Inspection Date - 4/15/15 Inspector - LBOLLER (284)

Inspection Report with SI&A Data

Milepoint: 1.350

Field Postings Type III:

Field Postings Type IV:

Structure Description: 125.61 Foot - 2 Span Concrete Frame (except frame culverts)

2 District: 05 3 County: Jefferson 16 Latitude: 38°14'22.00" 7 Longitude: 85°40'32.00"

7 Facility Carried PEE WEE REESE RD

6A Feature Intersected: 1-64

NBI	Χ
Element	Χ
Fracture Critical	
Underwater	
Special	

	Superstructure:	6	62 Culvert:	N 40.6					
60	Substructure:	7	Sufficiency Rating:	49.6					
		DES	IGN						
Sub	standard:		No						
Fra	cture Critical:		No FC Details						
43A	Main Span Mate	rial:	(1) Concrete						
43B	Main Span Desi	gn:	(07) Frame						
45	Number of Span	s Main:	2						
44A	Approach Span	Material:	Not Applicable						
44B	Approach Span	Design:	Not Applicable						
46	Number of Appr	oach Spans	: 0						
107	Deck Type:		(1) Concrete-Cast-in	-Place					
108	A Wearing Surface) :	(6) Bituminous						
108	B Membrane:		(0) None						
108	C Deck Protection	:	(0) None						
Ove	erlay Y/N:		Yes						

	APPRA	ISAL
36A	Bridge Railings:	(0) Substandard
36B	Transitions	(0) Substandard
36C	Approach Guardrail:	(1) Meets Standards
36D	Approach Guardrail Ends:	(0) Substandard
71	Waterway Adequacy:	(N) Not Applicable
72	Approach Alignment:	(8) Equal Desirable Crit
113	Scour Critical:	(N) Not over Waterway
Reco	mmended Scour Critical:	(N) Not over Waterway

		LOAD RATINGS
63	Operating Type:	(1) Load Factor (LF)
64	Operating Rating:	25.0 tons
65	Inventory Type:	(1) Load Factor (LF)
66	Inventory Rating:	15.0 tons
Truck	Capacity Type I:	tons
Truck	Capacity Type II:	tons
Truck	Capacity Type III:	tons
Truck	Capacity Type IV:	tons

	eature intersect							Special
	ocation: .75 MI							Special
Struc			oot - 2 Span Concrete ION RATINGS	Frame (evcer	t fr		GEOMETR	IC DATA
58 D	eck:	6	61 Channel:	N		48	Max Length Span:	62.800 ft
59 Sı	uperstructure:	6	62 Culvert:	N		49	Structure Length:	125.606 ft
	ubstructure:	7	Sufficiency Rating:	49.6		32	Approach Roadway:	30.000 ft
					⊥ It fra	33	Median:	(0) No Median
		DES	SIGN		00'	34	Skew:	12°
Subs	tandard:		No		00	35	Flare:	No Flare
Fract	ure Critical:		No FC Details			50A	Curb/Sidewalk Width L:	5.000 ft
43A	Main Span Mat	erial:	(1) Concrete			50B	Curb/Sidewalk Width R:	5.000 ft
43B	Main Span Des	ign:	(07) Frame			47	Horiz. Clearance:	30.000 ft
45	Number of Spa	ns Main:	2			51	Width Curb to Curb:	30.000 ft
44A	Approach Spar	n Material:	Not Applicable			52	Width Out to Out:	42.671 ft
44B	Approach Spar	n Design:	Not Applicable			48	Max Length Span:	62.800 ft
46	Number of App	roach Span	s : 0				ADMINIST	RATIVE
107	Deck Type:		(1) Concrete-Cast-in	n-Place		27	Year Built:	1970
108A	Wearing Surface	e:	(6) Bituminous			106	Year Reconstructed:	0
108B	Membrane:		(0) None			42A	Type of Service On:	(1) Highway
108C	Deck Protection	n:	(0) None			42B	Type of Service Under:	(1) Highway
Overl	ay Y/N:		Yes			37	Historical Significance:	(5) Not Eligible
Overl	ay Type:		Asphalt			21	Maintenance Responsibility	/ :(01) State Hwy Agency
Overl	ay Thickness:		2.000 in			22	Owner:	(01) State Hwy Agency
Overl	ay Date:		2003			101	Parallel Structure:	(N) No II Structure Exists
		ADDD	AISAL		Ī	52	Width Out to Out:	42.671 ft
004	D. I. D. III						CLEARA	NCES
36A	Bridge Railings	5 :	(0) Substandard			10	Vert. Clearance:	19.833 ft
36B	Transitions		(0) Substandard	_		53	Min. Vert. Clearance Over:	99.999 ft
36C	Approach Guar		(1) Meets Standard	S		54A	Vert. Under Reference:	(H) Hwy beneath struct.
36D	Approach Guar		(0) Substandard			54B	Min. Vert. Underclearance:	15.079 ft
71	Waterway Adec	-	(N) Not Applicable	Crit		55A	Lateral Under Reference:	(H) Hwy beneath struct.
72	Approach Align	iment:	(8) Equal Desirable			55B	Min. Lat. Underclearance R	: 14.000 ft
113 Bass	Scour Critical: mmended Scou	r Criticalı	(N) Not over Water (N) Not over Water	-		56	Min. Lat. Underclearance L:	: 14.000 ft
Reco	mmended Scou	r Critical:	(IN) INOLOVEL Water	way	<u> </u> -	10	Vert. Clearance:	99.999 ft
		LOAD R	RATINGS				POSTII	NGS
63	Operating Type	: (1) Load	Factor (LF)			41 I	Posting Status:	(A) Open, No Restriction
64	Operating Ratio	ng: 25.0 ton	S			_		No
65	Inventory Type	: (1) Load	l Factor (LF)			Sign	s Posted Non-Cardinal:	No
66	Inventory Ratin	g: 15.0 ton	S				d Postings Gross:	tons
	Capacity Type						d Postings Type I:	tons
Truck	Capacity Type	II: tons				Field	d Postings Type II:	tons

tons

tons

90 Inspection Date - 4/15/15 Inspector - LBOLLER (284)

Inspection Report with SI&A Data

38: Re Concrete Slab											
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4		
SQ.FT	5,360	4,682	87%	678	13%	0	0%	0	0%		

Asphalt surface has transverse cracks at both ends and above pier. Asphalt map cracking is present in travel lanes - worse in the west/southbound lane. Asphalt has some deterioration/initial spalling along its longitudinal joint, at the pier joint, and in the SB lane.

Some map cracking with light efflorescence over WB lanes and some diagonal and longitudinal cracking over the EB lanes. Soffit copings of the bridge have some minor deteriorated/spalled areas, some with exposed reinforcement.

510: We	510: Wearing Surfaces												
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4				
SQ.FT	3,768	1,088	29%	2,680	71%	0	0%	0	0%				
		<u>'</u>											

210: Re Conc Pier Wall										
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4	
FT	44	23	52%	21	48%	0	0%	0	0%	

Minor cracks and small areas of deterioration/spalling in legs/stems of rigid frame (considered as pier wall for this element level inspection). Stone facings have some minor deterioration and/or scaling. (5LF South Face, 16 LF North Face.)

215: Re Conc Abutment											
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4		
FT	87	74	85%	13	15%	0	0%	0	0%		

Minor cracks and small areas of deterioration/spalling in legs/stems of rigid frame (considered as abutments for this element level inspection). Stone facings have some minor deterioration and/or scaling. North abutment (A3) has a minor spall with exposed resteel near the east end. (5 LF at A1, 8 LF at A2)

90 Inspection Date - 4/15/15 Inspector - LBOLLER (284)

Inspection Report with SI&A Data

330: Metal Bridge Railing												
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4			
FT	251	251	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			

Bridge railing is composed of a concrete plinth with a stone cap and aluminum tubular railing. No deficiencies noted.

331: Re	331: Re Conc Bridge Railing											
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4			
FT	251	207	82%	44	18%	0	0%	0	0%			

Bridge railing is composed of a concrete plinth with a stone cap and aluminum tubular railing. Minor cracking/deterioration of the concrete and cap stones. (19 LF West, 25 LF East)

There is damage to the approach rail on the SW corner.

804: Sidewalk											
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4		
(LF)	251	180	72%	70	28%	1	0%	0	0%		

Sidewalks have some minor cracks and exposed aggregate (8 LF East, 7 LF West). The west sidewalk has minor spalling with exposed resteel at the south end that is almost the full width of the sidewalk (1 LF CS3). Approach sidewalks have settled 3 to 4 in. at each corner of the bridge.

Vertical faces have some minor cracks/deterioration. (35 LF East, 20 LF West)

STRUCTURE NOTES

- -Pee Wee Reese Road runs from south to north, Seneca Park Road to the south and Rock Creek Drive to the north (this agrees with I-64 EB going east). TK 4/8/2013
- -This bridge is actually two, single span rigid frames. See "Bridge Component Numbering" sheet in the Media tab > General folder. TK 4/8/2013
- -New asphalt overlay since the 2003 inspection, but an asphalt overlay has been reported on the bridge since the 1977 inspection. TK 4/8/2013
- -There is no specific element level condition state assessment of concrete rigid frame bridges. Elements utilized to best describe this rigid frame during this inspection comply with the 2012 BIRM recommendations. TK 4/8/2013

INSPECTION NOTES

Standard inspection performed on 04/15/2015 by L. Boller and A. Porter (DLZ).

Inspection Report with SI&A Data

WORK	
Action:	1000 - Approach Railing-Repair
Generated by user "LBOLLER" on 4/20/2015 - Repair damage to SW approach rail.	